

App E. IX-4

Previous References

1938-9
(Am)

File No. 238-3

Serial Nos. 1-2

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

240

Part B for

March 1938

SUBJECT.

Appeal. Plethā of Venkatasappa of
Talukā T. Narasimha against the orders of
the D. C. in the matter of his removal from
Parpatyasa in the Kisthi Narayanaswami
State Department Talukā

Sl 2,

1386

Mr. T. Venkatasappa

1387 -

Mr. Narasimha
(Talukā)

WD 0418-GPB-22,500-29-8-36

Rejects the appeal & states

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No. 238-38

FILE HEADING

Appeal petition of Venkataswappa - Taluk -
T. Narasimha TK.

FROM

Venkataswappa Taluk

No. —

Dated 3.2.39

Received

4.2.39

Diary No. 501 }

Enclos. 2 Spare copies

{ Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Appeals against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner
in the matter of his removal from the Panpattagari
post in the Kirthi Narayana Swami and other temples
at Taluk.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

x W.

1) The petition is duly stamped and
the only & the appeal has been filed in time
A certified copy of the M.C. order appeals
has been furnished by the petitioner
2) The facts of the case are being
set forth in M.C. order no. 22, 39-38-3
a copy of which has been forwarded by the
The Panpattagari post is being

File No. 228

of 1938-9

General and Revenue
Secretariat

and : have over the past few years been the place for
a long time. It is further the discretion of the Magistrate
to appoint suitable men for these places after
studying the local environment. It is submitted
that the appeal is inadvisable as no point
of law is involved in this case. For and

3) The fact is not
a hereditary one & there are
no grounds of law involved. May
be rejected

ben. (ad)
15/7/35

Second Memo 4)
I have passed the
order and on appeal
this may be reversed
is the "one" for the
chopra

Dismiss

6) No
2-3-2-37

18/9/35

7) The petition discloses no
grounds for interference by Govt. Rejected.

27/

21 FEB 1939

FEB 24 1939

6762

16 FEB. 1939
2783

SE 2ND 1

7641

Serial
No.

2

At ^{Op. int.} ~~27/2/39~~ ^{27/2/39} from the ^{replies} ~~replies~~
No 1386 of 28/2/39 to Mr T.V.
Venkatasappa of Talakad
intimating that his appeal petition
is rejected.

Memo no 1387 of 28/2/39 to the
Muzrai Commissioner forwarding
a copy of the above for information


B

10/3/39

File No.

of 193 .

General an
Sec



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

Appeal No. 14 of 38-39.

APPELLANT:-

T.V.venkataadasappa
son of Venkatasub-
bigh, Talkad, T.
Narasipur taluk.

-:VS:-

RESPONDENT:-

1. Setlur Venkata-
narasimhachar,

2. T.S. Eswaran.

Talkad, T. Nara-
sipur taluk.

Under S. 38 of the Mysore
Religious and Charitable Institutions'
Act:-

The appellant above named begs to
appeal from the order of the Muzrai
Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore in
Appeal No. 22 of 37-38 dated 26-10-38
2-11-38
refusing to revise the exparte order
previously passed removing the appellant
from his office as Parpathyegar of the
Sri Kirthi Narayanaswamy temple at Talkad.
The appellant begs to urge further the
following grounds in support of his appeal:-

1. The learned Muzrai erred in thinking that a santhar ducted in the Sri Kirthi Narayanaswamy temple
2. (a). The learned Muzrai Commissioner has not given consideration to the fact that the parpathyegar of Sri Deseswaraswami temple at Mugur is being held even at the present moment by a person belonging to the appellant's community
(b). The duties to be discharged by the appellant as parpathyegar of the Sri Kirthi Narayanaswamy temple are identical with the duties of the parpathyegar of the Mugur temple.
3. The learned Muzrai Commissioner has not taken the circumstances that the members of the appellant's community having in past held office of the parpathyegar not merely in the temple in question but in several other temples in the Mysore District.
4. The removal of the appellant has the certain effect of casting a serious reflection on his community the only ground on which he was removed from his appointment in which he had been confirmed was the sub-caste to which he belonged. The appellant and the members of his community are likely to be seriously prejudiced by the present order.
5. The order of the learned Muzrai Commissioner is otherwise opposed to law and equities of the case.

The appellant therefore prays that the records of the case be called for and examined and the order of the learned Muzrai Commissioner may kindly be set aside and the appellant


restored to his appointment.

D. Mahadeva Mayya

ADVOCATES FOR APPELLANT.

Bangalore,

3rd February 39



before the government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
IN THE COURT OF THE
Mysore. Appeal no 13823

CASE NO.

OF 193 -193 .

Appellant

PLAINTIFF

Respondent

DEFENDANT

Venkatadasappa

VS.

1. Venkatarama
Simhaiah

2. Edwaran

1. I the appellant above named do, by these presents
retain Messrs. S Venkata Palanayya - M.V. Devarudal
to appear
for and conduct the above case on behalf to Judgment

2. I further empower him to do all the necessary acts in the
above case and to execute any decree, order that may be passed in
behalf and to receive, from the court all documents, refunds and all sums of
money that I may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor

3. I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done
by the said or any other on his behalf in so doing.

M. Venkata Dasappa.

Accepted Signed before me and personally known to me
S. Venkata Palanayya K. Srinivasiah Shanbhag. Talukad
M. Devarudal

In the Court of the Muslim Com-
missioner in Mysore, Bangalore

Appl. No. 22/37-38.

Appellant: - J. V. Venkatarasappa of
Talhad, J. Narsipur Taluk.

vs.

Respondent: -

Sethur Venkatanarasimha-
char and J. S. Eswaran, Talhad,
J. Narsipur Taluk.

Subject: - This is a revision petition
praying for a review of the order issued
in this Office letter No. D₂ Dis 486/37-38
dated 14-12-1937 to the Deputy Commis-
sioner, Mysore District, directing that
the Appellant may be removed from the
Parpathegarship of the Sri Kirthi Narayana
Sweamya and other Temples at Talhad.

Facts of the Case

The Parpathegar Post of the above
temples was originally being held by
Smartha Brahmins. On the death of
the previous incumbent, Subba Deek-
shit, the Appellant was appointed
Parpathegar, in consultation with the
Dharmadasis from 12-2-1937. On Com-
plaint petitions received from the
Respondent that the new incumbent
(Appellant) belongs to Kalamadu Kanna-
baka Sect and that as such Rajman-
Yade in the temple and heading etc.

Appellant filed his statement.

document. App 22/37-38 dated 26-10-38
2-11-38

I have perused the records of the case and also heard the Appellant Counsel. I consider that the orders already passed in this case are quite fair. The Appellant has represented that Hale - Ramnarayan hold the place of Proprietorship of Marichalli and Mugger Temple. It is seen from the records that the Proprietorship of the former place is a -
Ernantha Kothur and that so far as the Mugger Temple is concerned no Sautan form is conducted there. There is no objection of my office on the Appellant by the appointment of a member of another community to the Office of Proprietorship. This is not an extraordinary appointment and the Appellant can have no grievance if he is not selected for the place. I therefore dismiss his application.

22/7. V. Anandavaram
alluveli Kannur district

Copy applied for by Mr. B. N. Devaraiya

Copy ready on 10-1-1939

delivered on 12-1-1939


of words 550

Spring bees
0-120
0-30

False $\bar{0}-15-\bar{0}$.

Copied by S. Jayaraman

Compared by Atanuganthak. Bangalore



OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

386/Muz 238-38 Dated, Bangalore, 28. 2. 39

ENDORSEMENT

Granted to Mr. T.V. Venkatadasappa of Talkad, T. Narsipur Taluk care of Mr. S. Venkatapathaiya, B.A., B.L., Advocate Bangalore City.

With reference to his petition dated the 3rd February 1939 appealing against the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner re- his removal from the Parupathegarship of Sri Kirthi Narayanaswami and other temples at Talkad, T. Narsipur Taluk, Mr. T.V. Venkatadasappa is informed that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner and that his appeal petition is rejected.

By order,

sd. J. Appaji Sarda

General Secretary to Government.

P.H.

1387/Lmz 238-54-2

M e m o .

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with
compliments, to the Muzrai Commissioner in
for information.

By order



General Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT

Collected

Section *Muz*

File No. 238-38

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 901

No 1386.

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193 .

M. T. V. Venkatasubramanian

of Talukad. T. Narasimhan

To

S. Venkatasubramanian

Advocate. Bangalore


Date of issue 28. 2. 39

Signed by *Dr S. K. V.*

*to the effect that his father 3.2.3
appearing against the
of the M.C. re: his removal
from the Panchayat, which
of Sri Kirtanmayamma Swami
to the Temple at Talukad, Y.
Narasimhan Taluk.
M. T. V. Venkatasubramanian
informed that Govt. see no
reason to interfere with the
of the M.C. & that his father
is rejected -*

List of enclosures

Copied by



Examined by

*Orders of the Govt. Sec
28.2.39*

*As-0
For Govt.
P. T. T.*

forwarded

Notes for M.C.

for information,

B

in reply

to see it

10
2/2 1178